



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/607,029	06/27/2003	Chang Wook Han	049128-5111	5609

9629 7590 05/19/2005

MORGAN LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP  
1111 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE NW  
WASHINGTON, DC 20004

EXAMINER

QUINTO, KEVIN V

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2826

DATE MAILED: 05/19/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

8m

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/607,029	HAN, CHANG WOOK	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Kevin Quinto	2826	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 5 May 2005.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1,2,4-6,10-12,15-17,19-21 and 24-26 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 3,7-9,13,14,18,22,23,27 and 28 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \*    c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)             | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)                     |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)    | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____  |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____  | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____                                    |

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### ***Response to Arguments***

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-28 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
2. The examiner acknowledges the submission of the certified copy of the foreign priority document; therefore the rejection of claims 1-4, 10, and 15-18 using Miyazawa (United States Patent Application Publication No. US 2003/0127974 A1) has been overcome.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

4. Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 10, 11, 15, 16, 17, 19, 24, and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Fujita et al. (USPN 6,538,390 B2).
5. In reference to claims 1 and 15, Fujita et al. (USPN 6,538,390 B2, hereinafter referred to as the "Fujita" reference) discloses a similar device and its method of fabrication. Figures 3(o), 5, 6, 7, and 9 each illustrate an active matrix organic electro

luminescence display panel device with a low refractive film thin film (12) on the substrate (1). The examiner would like to note that the use of the word "on" by itself does not necessarily mean direct contact between two objects or layers in the semiconductor art. The word "on" by itself could mean that there may possibly be one or several layers between the two objects or layers to which the word "on" is referring. The applicant appears to interpret the word "on" in the same manner. The low refractive thin film (12) is made of silicon dioxide, a known low refractive film (see Kamijo – United States Patent Application Publication No. US 2002/0130991, p.1, paragraph 10). An organic electro luminescence diode (8) is formed on the low refractive thin film (12) to selectively emit light. A switching device (2), a transistor, with a gate (11) and an active layer (9), is formed on the low refractive film (12) in order to selectively drive the organic electro luminescence diode (8). In the alternative interpretation of figures 3(o), 5, 6, 7, and 9, the switching device or transistor, with a gate (11) and an active layer (9), is formed between the low refractive film (12) and the substrate (1) in order to selectively drive the organic electro luminescence diode (8). The method of fabricating the device of figures 3(o), 5, 6, 7, and 9 meets the claimed method described in claim 15.

6. With regard to claims 2 and 17, Kamijo states that silicon dioxide has a refractive rate (n) of 1.455. Thus the low refractive thin film (12) of Fujita inherently meets the claimed device and its method of fabrication.

7. In reference to claims 4, 10, 16, and 24, Fujita discloses (column 10, lines 41-58) the use of a capacitor, formed between the substrate (1) and the low refractive thin film (12), for sustaining a light emission of the organic electro luminescence diode (8).

Art Unit: 2826

8. In reference to claims 5 and 19, the organic electro luminescence diode (8) of Fujita includes a first electrode (5) formed of a transparent conductive material (column 7, lines 29-32) formed on the low refractive thin film (12) and connected to the switching device. An organic light emission layer (61, 62) including an organic luminous material (62) is on the first electrode (5). A second electrode (7), which includes a metal material (column 12, lines 3-6), covers the organic light emission layer (61, 62), the switching device (2), and the capacitor.

9. In reference to claims 11 and 25, the organic electro luminescence diode (8) of Fujita includes a first electrode (5) formed of a transparent conductive material (column 7, lines 29-32) formed on the low refractive thin film (12). A portion of the first electrode (5) is within a contact hole formed in the low refractive thin film (12) which is connected to the switching device (2). An organic light emission layer (61, 62) formed of an organic luminous material (62) is on the first electrode (5). A second electrode (7), which includes a metal material (column 12, lines 3-6), covers the organic light emission layer (61, 62), the switching device (2), and the capacitor.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2826

11. Claims 6 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fujita et al. (USPN 6,538,390 B2) in view of Zhang et al. (USPN 5,313,075).

12. In reference to claims 6 and 20, Fujita shows in figures 3(o), 5, 6, 7, and 9 that the switching device (2) on a glass substrate (1) which has a semiconductor layer (9) with a gate (11) and a gate insulating film (not labeled) sequentially deposited on it. A drain electrode (10) is connected to the semiconductor layer (9) and is connected to the first electrode (5) of the organic electro luminescence diode. A source electrode (13) is connected to the semiconductor layer (9). As shown in figure 10, the source of the transistor is connected to the capacitor (23) while its drain is connected to the organic electro luminescence diode (8). Fujita does not disclose forming a buffer layer between the switching device (2) and the substrate (1). However the use of a buffer layer is well known in the art. Zhang et al. (USPN 5,313,075, hereinafter referred to as the "Zhang" reference) discloses that using a buffer layer between a transistor and a glass substrate prevents unwanted diffusion of impurities from the glass substrate into the transistor (column 3, lines 3-17). The diffusion of impurities from a glass substrate into a transistor leads to poor device characteristics and lower long-term reliability (column 2, lines 40-44). In view of Zhang, it would therefore be obvious to implement a buffer layer between the substrate and transistor or switching device of Fujita in order to gain the benefit of improved reliability.

13. Claims 12 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fujita et al. (USPN 6,538,390 B2) in view of Zhang et al. (USPN 5,313,075).

Art Unit: 2826

14. In reference to claims 12 and 25, Fujita shows in figures 3(o), 5, 6, 7, and 9 that the switching device (2) on a glass substrate (1) which has a semiconductor layer (9) with a gate (11) and a gate insulating film (not labeled) sequentially deposited on it. A drain electrode (10) is connected to the semiconductor layer (9) and is connected to the first electrode (5) of the organic electro luminescence diode. A source electrode (13) is connected to the semiconductor layer (9). As shown in figure 10, the source of the transistor is connected to the capacitor (23) while its drain is connected to the organic electro luminescence diode (8). Fujita does not disclose forming a buffer layer between the switching device (2) and the substrate (1). However the use of a buffer layer is well known in the art. Zhang (USPN 5,313,075) discloses that using a buffer layer between a transistor and a glass substrate prevents unwanted diffusion of impurities from the glass substrate into the transistor (column 3, lines 3-17). The diffusion of impurities from a glass substrate into a transistor leads to poor device characteristics and lower long-term reliability (column 2, lines 40-44). In view of Zhang, it would therefore be obvious to implement a buffer layer between the substrate and transistor or switching device of Fujita in order to gain the benefit of improved reliability

#### ***Allowable Subject Matter***

15. Claims 3, 7-9, 13, 14, 18, 22, 23, 27, and 28 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 2826

16. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the examiner is unaware of any prior art which suggests or renders obvious an active matrix organic electro luminescence display panel device with the explicit layer structure with regard to the low refractive thin film, the buffer layer and capacitor electrode as described the applicant.


### ***Conclusion***

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin Quinto whose telephone number is (571) 272-1920. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8AM-5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan Flynn can be reached on (571) 272-1915. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

KVQ

  
NATHAN J. FLYNN  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800